

Flare			UNITED STATES DEPARTY United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF P Washington, D.C. 2023   www.uspto.gov	rademark Office ATEXTS AND TRADEMARKS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,164 2292 75	03/29/2001	Martin Bondo Jorgensen	0459-0581P	3512	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			NGUYEN, KHIEM M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			$N_{I}I$
'orran a re	Application No. 09/820/64	Applicant(s)  TORGENS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner  K. NG-a	Applicant(s)  TORGENS  Group A  2-8:	Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			,
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM	THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory mi fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr statute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days wi om the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (	Il be considered timely. communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>pro</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to the mo 3.	erits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
Ø Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s) 1 - 7, 10 - 1)		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s) 1-7, 10-1)  Claim(s) 8-9		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected t	o.
☐ Claim(s) 1 - 7, 10 - 1)  Claim(s) 8 - 9  ☐ Claim(s) 8 - 9		is/are rejected. is/are objected t	o. striction or election
Claim(s) 1 − 7 10 − 1   8 − 9   Claim(s)   Application Papers		is/are rejected. is/are objected t are subject to re requirement	
Claim(s) 1 − 7 , 10 − 1 )  Claim(s) 8 − 9  Claim(s) Application Papers  □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are rejected. is/are objected t are subject to re requirement	
Claim(s) 1 − 7 10 − 1	is □ approved	is/are rejected. is/are objected t are subject to re requirement	
Claim(s) 1-7, 10-1)  Claim(s) 8-9  Claim(s) 8-9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are rejected. is/are objected t are subject to re requirement	
Claim(s) 1 − 7 10 − 1	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are rejected. is/are objected t are subject to re requirement	
Claim(s)	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are object to re requirement disapproved.	
Claim(s)	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are object to re requirement disapproved.	
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the:	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are object to re requirement disapproved.	
Claim(s) 8-9  Claim(s) 8-9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is approved ijected to by the Examiner  by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.	striction or election
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoo is the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority is all Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is approved ijected to by the Examiner to be under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and received).	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.	striction or election
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and all Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is approved in approved is approved is approved in approved in approved in application in the state of the st	is/are rejected.  is/are objected t  are subject to re requirement  disapproved.	striction or election
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and a claim for foreign priority.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies certified copies of the certified copies cert	is approved piected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and in received) in received in Application in the shave been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.	striction or election
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoned in the drawing(s) filed on is/are obtoned in the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and in the specified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	is approved in approved is approved is approved in approved in approved in application in the state of the st	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.	striction or election
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and all Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been compared to the priority documents have been compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the priority documents have bee	is approved approved by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and received). In received in Application from the shave been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.	striction or election
Claim(s) 8-9  Claim(s) 8-9  Claim(s) 8-9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtogened in the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and all Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of the priority documents have been copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been copies of t	is approved injected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (at a received. In received in Application It is have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.  (d).	striction or election
Claim(s) 8 - 9  Claim(s) 8 - 9  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and all Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been compared to the priority documents have been compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have been compared to the priority documents have been compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have been compared to the certified copies of the priority documents have been compared to the priority	is approved spected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and received). In received in Application in the shave been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	is/are rejected. is/are objected t is/are objected t are subject to re requirement disapproved.	striction or election

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

09/820/16 4 Art Unit: 2839

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings (figures 1-8) are considered to be informal because they fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(a)(1) which requires black and white drawings using India ink or its equivalent.

Photographs and color drawings are acceptable only for examination purposes unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) or (b)(1) is granted permitting their use as formal drawings. In the event applicant wishes to use the drawings currently on file as formal drawings, a petition must be filed for acceptance of the photographs or color drawings as formal drawings. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(i), three sets of drawings or photographs, as appropriate, and, if filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2), an amendment to the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification which states:

The file of this patent contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Patent and Trademark Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings have been satisfied.

2. The drawings are objected to because figures 1-8 are too dark and blurry for proper comprehension and examination. Correction is required.

## Specification

3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- (c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
  - 1. Field of the Invention.
  - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (i) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (I) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It lacks the headings

listed above.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soreng (3,054,879).

Soreng discloses a waterproof contact comprising: a base plate 16, a side-wall 34 extending from the base plate in a substantially vertical direction so as to form a housing, said housing having an opening essentially opposite to the base plate. A first and a second outer terminal 22 penetrating the base plate so as to form a first and a second contact area on an inner surface of the base plate. A movable knob 56 being adapted to be moved in a direction substantially perpendicular to a plane defined by an inner surface of the base plate. The movable knob comprising a top, middle and bottom part. An electrical conductor attached to the bottom part for providing electrical contact between said terminals. A sealing means 40 for providing a waterproof seal between said housing and said movable knob.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 4, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soreng in view of Flatt et al. (3,049,602) and Maas (3,541,288).
- 9. Soreng discloses the claimed instant water proof contact except for an elastic spring provided between the base plate and movable knob (regarding claim 4) and a sealing means comprises an annular member positioned around said middle part and between said top part and an upper surface of the housing. Flatt et al. Shows elastic spring 44 provided between the base plate and movable knob. Maas discloses sealing means 28 comprises an annular member positioned a movable knob 14 as memtioned above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an elastic spring and a sealling means positioned as mentioned above for the water proof contact of Soreng in view of the teachings of Flatt et al. and Mass. The use of spring and sealing means are also old and well known in the art.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takano et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1738. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, may be reached on (703) 308-3119.

KN

February 22, 2002

Khiem Nguyen

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2839